



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/499,031	02/07/00	LEE		K 12	293.1090/MD	
_			一	EX	EXAMINER	
021171		TM02/0221				
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW				ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	7.1
SUITE 500						_/
WASHINGTON I	C 20001			2651		•
				DATE MAILED:	02/21/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/499.031

NABIL HINDI

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2651

LEE

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 29, 2000 ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire one month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). **Disposition of Claims** is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. \_\_\_\_\_is/are objected to. Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐approved ☐disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 --- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/499031

Art Unit: 2651

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: fig 3; and figs 5a-5b corresponding to at least tow different species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CAR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MEP. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/499031

Page 3

Art Unit: 2651

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone number (703) 308.1555

NABÍÉ HINDI PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2027